arXiv:0903.0817v1 [math.NT] 4 Mar 2009

A multilinear support problem for products of abelian varieties and tori

Antonella Perucca

Abstract

Let G be the product of an abelian variety and a torus defined over a number field K. Let $P, Q_1, \dots, Q_n$ be K-rational points on G. Suppose that for all but finitely many primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K the following holds: the greatest common divisor of the orders of $(Q_1 \bmod \mathfrak{p}), \dots, (Q_n \bmod \mathfrak{p})$ divides the order of $(P \bmod \mathfrak{p})$. Then for some index $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ there exist a K-endomorphism ϕ of G and a non-zero integer c such that $\phi(P) = cQ_i$.

1 Introduction

The support problem originated with a question by Erdős and was studied by several authors (see the bibliography). In this paper we study a multilinear support problem for products of abelian varieties and tori. This problem was proposed by Barańczuk in [2]. We prove the following result:

Theorem 1. *Let G be the product of an abelian variety and a torus defined over a number field K. Let P,Q_1,...,Q_n be points in $G(K)$. Fix a rational prime ℓ and suppose that for all but finitely many primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K the following holds:*

$$ord_\ell(P \bmod \mathfrak{p}) \geq min_{\{i=1,\dots,n\}}\, ord_\ell(Q_i \bmod \mathfrak{p})$$

where ord_ℓ means the ℓ-adic valuation of the order. Then there exist an index $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, a K-endomorphism ϕ of G and a non-zero integer c such that $\phi(P) = cQ_i$.

Remark that the condition in the theorem is satisfied for every prime number ℓ whenever for all but finitely many primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K the following holds: the greatest common divisor of the orders of $(Q_1 \bmod \mathfrak{p}), \dots, (Q_n \bmod \mathfrak{p})$ divides the order of $(P \bmod \mathfrak{p})$.

If the endomorphism ring is $\mathbb{Z}$ and under a condition of independence on the given points, Barańczuk proved refinements of Theorem 1 in [2].

2 Preliminaries

Let G be the product of an abelian variety and a torus defined over a number field K. We call a non-zero point in $G(K)$ *independent* if it generates a free $\mathrm{End}_K G$-submodule of $G(K)$. For properties of the independent points, we refer to [9, section2].

Lemma 2. *Let $I = \{1, \dots, M\}$. Let K be a number field. For every $i \in I$ let B_i be either the multiplicative group or a K-simple abelian variety such that for $i \neq j$ either $B_i = B_j$ or $\mathrm{Hom}_K(B_i, B_j) = \{0\}$. For every $i \in I$ let W_i be a point in $B_i(K)$ of infinite order. Let r be such that the point $(W_1, \dots, W_r)$ is independent in $\prod_{i=1}^r B_i$ and such that for every $i > r$ the following holds:*

$$W_i = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \alpha_{ij} W_j$$

for some α_{ij} in $\mathrm{Hom}_K(B_j, B_i)$. Let ℓ be a rational prime and let t be a non-negative integer. Then there exist positive integers $t_1, \dots, t_r$ such that for all but finitely many primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K the following holds: if $ord_\ell(W_i \bmod \mathfrak{p}) = t_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, r$ then $ord_\ell(W_i \bmod \mathfrak{p}) > t$ for every $i \in I$.

Proof. The maps α_{ij} which are not zero are isogenies. Call D the ℓ-adic valuation of the least common multiples of their degrees. Take t_1 such that $t_1 - t > D$ and for every $i > 1$ take t_i such that $t_i - t_{i-1} > D$. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime of K over which the reductions of the given points are well-defined and suppose that $ord_\ell(W_i \bmod \mathfrak{p}) = t_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, r$. Up to excluding finitely many primes $\mathfrak{p}$, by [8, Lemma 3] we have that for every $i > r$ and for every $j = 1, \dots, r$ the following holds:

$$ord_\ell(W_j \bmod \mathfrak{p}) - D \leq ord_\ell(\alpha_{ij} W_j \bmod \mathfrak{p}) \leq ord_\ell(W_j \bmod \mathfrak{p})$$

whenever $\alpha_{ij} \neq 0$. Consequently, for any fixed $i > r$ we have $ord_\ell(W_i \bmod \mathfrak{p}) = ord_\ell(\alpha_{ij} W_j \bmod \mathfrak{p})$ where j is the greatest index such that $\alpha_{ij} \neq 0$. We deduce that $ord_\ell(W_i \bmod \mathfrak{p}) \geq t_j - D > t$. □

Lemma 3. *Let $I = \{1, \dots, N\}$. Let K be a number field. For every $i \in I$ let B_i be either the multiplicative group or a K-simple abelian variety such that for $i \neq j$ either $B_i = B_j$ or $\mathrm{Hom}_K(B_i, B_j) = \{0\}$. For every $i \in I$ let R_i be a point in $B_i(K)$ of infinite order. Let $1 \leq m \leq N$. There exist a non-zero integer F and a subset $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_s\}$ of I such that the point $(R_{j_1}, \dots, R_{j_s})$ is independent in $\prod_{j \in J} B_j$ and such that the following holds: for every $i \in I$*

$$F R_i = \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_{ij} R_j$$

for some α_{ij} in $\mathrm{Hom}_K(B_j, B_i)$ such that for every $i \leq m$ $\alpha_{ij} = 0$ whenever $j > m$.

Proof. We first determine $J' = J \cap \{1, \dots, m\}$. Let $J' = \{j_1, \dots, j_{s'}\}$ be a maximal subset of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ such that the point $(R_{j_1}, \dots, R_{j_{s'}})$ is independent in $\prod_{j \in J'} B_j$. By maximality, [8, Lemma 4] implies that for every $i \leq m$ there exists a non-zero f_i in $\operatorname{End}_K B_i$ such that $f_i R_i = \sum_{j \in J'} \alpha_{ij} R_j$ for some α_{ij} in $\operatorname{Hom}_K(B_j, B_i)$. Since f_i is an isogeny, it divides a non-zero integer in $\operatorname{End}_K B_i$ hence we may assume that f_i is a non-zero integer. We finish the proof of the statement by induction on $N \geq m$. We just proved that the statement is true for $N = m$. Now we prove the inductive step, supposing that $\hat{J}$ is as in the statement for $(R_1, \dots, R_{N-1})$ and that $\hat{J} \cap \{1, \dots, m\}$ is determined as above. Call $\hat{J} = \{j_1, \dots, j_t\}$. If R_N is such that $(R_{j_1}, \dots, R_{j_t}, R_n)$ is independent in $\prod_{j \in \hat{J} \cup \{N\}} B_j$ we may clearly take $J = \hat{J} \cup \{N\}$. Otherwise by [8, Lemma 3] there exists a non-zero f in $\operatorname{End}_K B_N$ such that $f R_N = \sum_{j \in \hat{J}} \alpha_{Nj} R_j$ for some α_{Nj} in $\operatorname{Hom}_K(B_j, B_N)$. Since f is an isogeny, it divides a non-zero integer in $\operatorname{End}_K B_N$ hence we may assume that f is a non-zero integer. Then we can take $J = \hat{J}$. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Theorem 1 is a special case of the following result.

Proposition 4. *Let $G_0, G_1, \dots, G_n$ be products of an abelian variety and a torus defined over a number field K. Let P be a point in $G_0(K)$. For every $i = 1, \dots, n$ let Q_i be a point in $G_i(K)$. Fix a rational prime ℓ and suppose that for all but finitely many primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K the following holds:*

$$ord_\ell(P \bmod \mathfrak{p}) \geq min_{\{i=1,\dots,n\}}\, ord_\ell(Q_i \bmod \mathfrak{p}).$$

Then there exist an index $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, a map ϕ in $\operatorname{Hom}_K(G_0, G_i)$ and a non-zero integer c such that $\phi(P) = cQ_i$.

Lemma 5. *Let $G_0, G_1, \dots, G_n$ be products of an abelian variety and a torus defined over a number field K. Let $G'_0, G'_1, \dots, G'_n$ be products of an abelian variety and a torus defined over K such that for every $i = 0, \dots, n$ there exists a K-isogeny α_i from G_i to G'_i. Suppose that Proposition 4 is true for $G'_0, G'_1, \dots, G'_n$. Then Proposition 4 is true for $G_0, G_1, \dots, G_n$.*

Proof. For every $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ let d_i be the degree of α_i and call $\hat{\alpha}_i$ the element in $\operatorname{Hom}_K(G'_i, G_i)$ such that $\hat{\alpha}_i \circ \alpha_i = [d_i]$. By [8, Lemma 3], it is easy to see that the points $\alpha_0(P)$ and the points $\alpha_i(d_i Q_i)$ satisfy the condition of Proposition 4 for the algebraic groups G'_i (see the proof of [8, Lemma 7]). Then there exist an index $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, a map ψ in $\operatorname{Hom}_K(G'_0, G'_i)$ and a non-zero integer r such that $\psi(\alpha_0(P)) = r\alpha_i(d_i Q_i)$. Then $\phi = \hat{\alpha}_i \circ \psi \circ \alpha_0$ is in $\operatorname{Hom}_K(G_0, G_i)$, $c = r d_i^2$ is a non-zero integer and we have $\phi(P) = cQ_i$. □

Proof of Proposition 4. First step. Call $G = \prod_{i \in \{0,1,\dots,n\}} G_i$. In this step we reduce to prove the proposition in the case $G = \prod_{h \in H} B_h$, where for every h the factor B_h is either the multiplicative group or a K-simple abelian variety and for $h' \neq h$ either $B_{h'} = B_h$ or $\operatorname{Hom}_K(B_{h'}, B_h) = \{0\}$. By [8, Lemma 2], the statement holds for G if it holds for $G \times_K L$, where L is a finite Galois extension of K. Then it suffices to apply Lemma 5.

Second step. For every $i = 0, \dots, n$ let $G_i = \prod_{h \in H_i} B_h$. For every $i = 0, \dots, n$ and for every $h \in H_i$ call π_h the projection of G_i onto B_h.

We claim that it is sufficient to prove the following: there exist $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $h_0 \in H_{i_0}$, an element ψ in $\operatorname{Hom}_K(G_0, B_{h_0})$ and a non-zero integer c such that $\psi(P) = c(\pi_{h_0}(Q_{i_0}))$. Suppose that the above property is true and that $H_{i_0} \neq \{h_0\}$. Consider the point Q'_{i_0} obtained from Q_{i_0} by removing the h_0-coordinate. The point Q'_{i_0} lies in $\prod_{h \in H_{i_0} \setminus \{h_0\}} B_h$. The points $P, Q_1, \dots, Q'_{i_0}, \dots Q_n$ still satisfy the condition in the statement and we can iterate the procedure. Consequently, the iteration does not stop unless we have shown that for some $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and for every $h \in H_{i_0}$ the point $\pi_h Q_{i_0}$ has a non-zero multiple in $\operatorname{Hom}_K(G_0, B_h) \cdot P$. The iteration must stop in finitely many steps therefore the claim is proven.

Third step. If D is a non-zero integer we may clearly replace P by DP and Q_i by DQ_i for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. Hence we may assume that for every $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ and for every $h \in H_i$ the point $\pi_h(P)$ or $\pi_h(Q_i)$ respectively is either zero or has infinite order. If P is zero then Q_i is zero for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ otherwise we have a contradiction with [9, Corollary 14]. If Q_i is zero for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the statement is proven. Then suppose that this is not the case and replace the points $P, Q_1, \dots, Q_n$ by removing their zero coordinates.

Fourth step. We apply Lemma 3 by setting $(R_1, \dots, R_m) = P$ and $(R_1, \dots, R_N) = (P; Q_1; \dots; Q_n)$. Let $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_s\}$ and F be as in Lemma 3. Then for every $h \in H_0$ we have

$$F\pi_h(P) = \sum_{j \in J, j \leq m} \alpha_{hj} R_j \tag{1}$$

for some α_{hj} in $\operatorname{Hom}_K(B_j, B_h)$. Furthermore, for every $i > 0$ and for every $h \in H_i$ we have

$$F\pi_h(Q_i) = \sum_{j \in J, j \leq m} \alpha_{hj} R_j + \sum_{j \in J, j > m} \alpha_{hj} R_j \tag{2}$$

for some α_{hj} in $\operatorname{Hom}_K(B_j, B_h)$. Notice that the maps α_{hj} are isogenies whenever they are non-zero. For every $i > 0$ and for every $h \in H_i$ set

$$C_h = \sum_{j \in J, j > m} \alpha_{hj} R_j.$$

To conclude the proof we have to show that $C_h = 0$ for some h. Suppose not. Then by the independence of the point $(R_{j_1}, \dots, R_{j_s})$ in $\prod_{j \in J} B_j$ we deduce that every point C_h has infinite order.

Fifth step. From (1) we immediately deduce that for all but finitely many primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K the following holds: the order of $(P \bmod \mathfrak{p})$ divides F times the least common multiple of the

orders of ($R_j \bmod \mathfrak{p}$) for $j \in J \cap \{1, \dots, m\}$. Let $t = v_\ell(F)$. In particular, for all but finitely many primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K the following holds: $ord_\ell(P \bmod \mathfrak{p}) \leq t$ whenever $ord_\ell(R_j \bmod \mathfrak{p}) = 0$ for every $j \in J \cap \{1, \dots, m\}$.
Sixth step. Apply Lemma 2 taking ℓ and t as above and by setting

$$\{W_1, \dots, W_r\} = \{R_j \, : \, j \in J, j > m\} \, ; \, \{W_{r+1}, \dots, W_M\} = \{C_h \, : \, h \in H_i \textit{ for some } i > 0\}.$$

By Lemma 2, there exist integers $t_1, \dots, t_r$ such that for all but finitely many primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K the following holds: if $ord_\ell(W_k \bmod \mathfrak{p}) = t_k$ for every $k = 1, \dots, r$ then for every $i > 0$ and for every $h \in H_i$ we have $ord_\ell(C_h \bmod \mathfrak{p}) > t$. We deduce that for all but finitely many primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K the following holds: $ord_\ell(Q_i \bmod \mathfrak{p}) > t$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$ whenever $ord_\ell(W_k \bmod \mathfrak{p}) = t_k$ for every $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $ord_\ell(R_j \bmod \mathfrak{p}) = 0$ for every $j \in J \cap \{1, \dots, m\}$.
Seventh step. By the fifth and sixth steps, to find a contradiction with the hypotheses of the Theorem it suffices to show that there exist infinitely many primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K such that $ord_\ell(R_j \bmod \mathfrak{p}) = 0$ for every $j \in J \cap \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $ord_\ell(W_k \bmod \mathfrak{p}) = t_k$ for every $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. By definition of J, the point $(R_{j_1}, \dots, R_{j_s})$ is independent in $\prod_{j \in J} B_j$ hence it suffices to apply [9, Proposition 12]. $\square$

We claim that one can easily adapt our proof to show that Theorem 1 is true if we replace the given condition with the following:

Let S be an infinite set of rational primes and suppose that for all but finitely many primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K the following holds: for every ℓ in S, $ord_\ell(P \bmod \mathfrak{p}) = 0$ implies that $ord_\ell(Q_i \bmod \mathfrak{p}) = 0$ for some $i = 1, \dots, n$. Indeed, the proof of Lemma 5 for this case is similar (see the proof of [8, Lemma 7]) and to prove the analogue of Proposition 4 it suffices to make the following changes: in the third step we replace the set S by removing its elements which divide D; the rational prime ℓ has to be taken in S and coprime to F (which provides $t = 0$).

References

[1] S. Barańczuk, *On reduction maps and support problem in K-theory and abelian varieties*, J. Number Theory **119** (2006), no. 1, 1–17.

[2] S. Barańczuk, *On a generalization of the support problem of Erdös and its analogues for abelian varieties and K-theory*, arXiv:0809.1991v3, 2008.

[3] G. Banaszak, W. Gajda, and P. Krasoń, *Support problem for the intermediate Jacobians of ℓ-adic representations*, J. Number Theory **100** (2003), no. 1, 133–168.

[4] C. Corrales-Rodrigáñez and R. Schoof, *The support problem and its elliptic analogue*, J. Number Theory **64** (1997), no. 2, 276–290.

[5] C. Khare and D. Prasad, *Reduction of homomorphisms mod p and algebraicity*, J. Number Theory **105** (2004), no. 2, 322–332.

[6] M. Larsen, *The support problem for abelian varieties*, J. Number Theory **101** (2003), no. 2, 398–403.

[7] M. Larsen and R. Schoof, *A refined counter-example to the support conjecture for abelian varieties*, J. Number Theory **116** (2006), no. 2, 396–398.

[8] A. Perucca, *Two variants of the support problem for products of abelian varieties and tori*, to appear in Journal of Number Theory, doi: 10.1016/j.jnt.2009.01.005.

[9] A. Perucca, *Prescribing valuations of the order of a point in the reductions of abelian varieties and tori*, J. Number Theory **129** (2009), no. 2, 469–476.

[10] O. Wittenberg, *Le problème du support pour les variétés abéliennes, d'après Larsen*, `http://www.dma.ens.fr/~wittenberg/autres.html`, 2003.

Mathématiques, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

E-mail address: antonella.perucca@epfl.ch